

# HOW AI TOOLS QUIETLY EXPOSE SRH DATA

A plain-language guide for the reproductive health movement: how a single visit becomes data that gets sold — even when nobody breaks a rule. Built for SRH leadership in a post-Dobbs, post-*Purl* landscape. Read once. Share widely. Act this week.

By **Lyndsay Sanborn, MHPA** · Frame + Forge AI Strategy Studio · April 2026

## CONTENTS · WHAT'S IN THIS HANDOUT

THE SETUP	P.1-2	THE PATHWAYS	P.3-4	WHAT TO DO	P.5
01	HIPAA's two-condition rule	04	Jordan's data trail ( <i>inside the EHR</i> )	07	State laws are a patchwork
02	Predictive vs. generative AI	05	Dr. Lee's ChatGPT log ( <i>shadow AI</i> )	08	Six questions for leadership
03	How vendors monetize patient data	06	What the <i>Purl</i> ruling changed	09	What to do this week
				10	Working with Frame + Forge

## 01 · WHAT HIPAA ACTUALLY IS — AND WHAT IT ISN'T

### HIPAA only protects when **two things** are true

HIPAA is the federal law that protects what it calls **Protected Health Information (PHI)**. People hear “HIPAA” and think the data is locked in a vault. It isn't. HIPAA only kicks in when both of these conditions apply at the same time:

#### CONDITION 1

##### The data identifies a specific patient

Name, date of birth, address, phone, medical record number — the kind of details that point to one person. **Strip those off, and HIPAA stops protecting it.**

#### CONDITION 2

##### It's held by a covered entity or business associate

A “covered entity” (clinic, hospital, health plan) or its “business associate” — a vendor working under a Business Associate Agreement (BAA). **Hand it to anyone outside that circle, and HIPAA stops protecting it too.**

#### The whole game lives here:

Modern AI features make both of these conditions stop being true on a regular basis — and most clinics never see it happen. The rest of this handout shows you exactly **how**.

## 02 · THE TWO KINDS OF AI INSIDE YOUR EHR · DIFFERENT WORK, DIFFERENT RISK

### GENERATIVE AI · WRITES THINGS

#### It writes, summarizes, transcribes, and drafts.

Drafts the chart note from a recorded visit. Writes after-visit instructions. Transcribes the patient-provider conversation in real time.

#### EVERYDAY EXAMPLE

Like ChatGPT — it puts words on a page based on patterns it learned.

#### MAIN PRIVACY RISK

The contract usually lets the vendor use your patients' visits to train the next version of the AI — which then gets sold to other clinics.

### PREDICTIVE AI · CREATES INFERRED DATA

#### It assigns probability scores about the patient.

“70% likely pregnant.” “Elevated substance-use risk.” “Possible intimate partner violence.” Privacy professionals call this **inferred data** — new information the AI creates about the patient that the patient never said.

#### EVERYDAY EXAMPLE

Like how Netflix predicts which movies you'll like — except about your pregnancy, mental health, or substance use, and the prediction is stored forever.

#### MAIN PRIVACY RISK

# Many AI vendors can make money **twice** from your patients' data.

When your clinic signs up for an AI feature, you become a customer in two ways at once. One you can see on the invoice. The other you cannot. Both are usually written into the same standard contract you signed.

## WAY 1

### Subscription Revenue

Your clinic pays the vendor a monthly or annual fee for the AI feature. **This is the money you know about.**

## WAY 2

### Selling Your Data

Vendors can strip names off the data flowing through the AI and **sell it to data brokers, pharma companies, insurers, and analytics firms.** This revenue stream is invisible to you.



## WHO BUYS THIS KIND OF DATA, AND WHY

- **Pharma companies** — for drug marketing & clinical research
- **Health insurers** — for risk scoring and underwriting
- **Advertisers** — to target ads at patients by health status
- **Other data brokers** — to enrich their own datasets and resell
- **Population health analytics** — resold to all of the above
- **State actors / law enforcement** — via subpoena to the broker, not the clinic

## WAIT — ISN'T "DE-IDENTIFIED" THE SAME AS ANONYMOUS?

**No. "De-identified" means "legal to sell." It does not mean unidentifiable.**

HIPAA's **Safe Harbor** rule says: remove 18 specific **direct identifiers** (name, address, dates more specific than year, phone, email, MRN, and 13 others) and the data is no longer protected. A second pathway, called a "**Limited Data Set**," lets vendors keep dates and 3-digit ZIP under a Data Use Agreement. Either way, the **indirect identifiers** stay behind — ZIP, visit timing, demographic patterns, encounter type. Data brokers already have your phone's location data, your purchase history, your address from public records. **Combining indirect identifiers + auxiliary data lets buyers trace it back to a real, named person** — and they don't break any law to do it.

## PICTURE THIS

The vendor uses a Limited Data Set (legal under HIPAA). The row they sell reads: **female, age 28, ZIP 942xx, visit type: pregnancy test, date: April 12, 2026.** A data broker already has the location data of every phone that pinged a tower near that clinic that day. A small clinic might see four patients in that window. Cross-reference against any consumer dataset and you're back to a name. **This is how re-identification actually works in 2026.**

## This isn't hypothetical — it's already happening.

- ▶ **FTC v. GoodRx (2023):** \$1.5M penalty for sharing prescription & health data with Facebook and Google for ad targeting.
- ▶ **FTC v. Premom (2023):** Period-tracking app shared user pregnancy and fertility data with marketing companies.
- ▶ **FTC v. BetterHelp (2023):** \$7.8M penalty for sharing mental-health intake data with advertisers.
- ▶ **Meta & Nebraska (2022):** Facebook handed over private messages used to prosecute someone for self-managed abortion.
- ▶ **Texas AG v. Seattle Children's (2023):** AG issued a Civil Investigative Demand for records on out-of-state patients seeking gender-affirming care.
- ▶ **Major AI scribe vendor contracts** (Abridge, Nabla, Suki, Microsoft DAX, Augmedix) often include model-training or de-identification clauses; terms vary, and some vendors are tightening defaults.

~ 1 in 3

U.S. physicians reported using AI in clinical practice in 2024 — up sharply from prior years. **A meaningful share** use it without their organization’s knowledge or a Business Associate Agreement. (Sources: *Doximity 2024 State of AI in Medicine*; *AMA digital health surveys*; figures approximate.)

## HIPAA compliance and patient privacy are not the same thing.

THE LINE WE WANT EVERY LEADER TO REMEMBER

### BEFORE THE MAPS · FOUR TERMS TO HOLD

The vocabulary you need to **read the journeys below.**

#### Inferred Data

New information the AI **creates** about a patient (pregnancy probability, IPV risk, substance-use score) — not facts the patient stated.

#### Safe Harbor · 18 IDs

HIPAA’s rule for stripping 18 **direct identifiers** (name, address, dates more specific than year, phone, MRN...). After stripping, data is “de-identified” and legal to sell.

#### Indirect Identifiers

ZIP, visit timing, demographics, encounter patterns. They **stay behind** after Safe Harbor stripping — and they’re enough to re-identify when combined with broker data.

#### The Gray Zone

Where inferred data lives **once it leaves the chart**: protected inside the EHR, often unprotected once it flows to vendor analytics.

### 04 · PATHWAY A · INSIDE THE EHR

## Follow Jordan through **one routine visit**



#### Meet Jordan.

They’re 28 and book a routine visit at their local SRH clinic. The clinic runs a popular EHR — think *Epic*, *athenahealth*, *Oracle Cerner*, *eClinicalWorks*, or *NextGen* — with an AI scribe layered on top, like *Abridge*, *Nabla*, *Suki*, *Microsoft DAX*, or *Augmedix*. All widely deployed. The clinic signed a standard BAA. Staff are trained. A privacy officer is on payroll. Everyone follows every rule. Here’s exactly what happens to Jordan’s data — and why each step is a problem.

01



#### THE VISIT

##### JORDAN SEES

A normal conversation. They may not know an AI scribe is on.

##### BEHIND SCENES

Audio captured, transcribed, fed to prediction models.

##### WHY IT MATTERS

Jordan never consented to AI use or knew where the data would go.

02



#### INFERRED DATA

##### JORDAN SEES

Nothing. The AI works silently.

##### BEHIND SCENES

Predictive features generate risk scores — pregnancy, IPV, substance use. This is **inferred data**.

##### WHY IT MATTERS

This is new data Jordan never said. It now exists in the gray zone.

03



#### THE SPLIT

##### JORDAN SEES

A new note in the portal. Same as always.

##### BEHIND SCENES

Note → EHR (protected). Inferred data → vendor analytics.

##### WHY IT MATTERS

The most sensitive data just entered the gray zone.

04



#### DATA SOLD

##### JORDAN SEES

Their life continues. They are never notified.

##### BEHIND SCENES

Vendor strips direct IDs (Safe Harbor) or uses a Limited Data Set. Either way, legal to sell.

##### WHY IT MATTERS

Indirect identifiers (ZIP, visit timing, demographics) stay — enough to re-identify.

05



#### RE-COMBINED

##### JORDAN SEES

Maternity ads on their phone. They never searched for them.

##### BEHIND SCENES

Broker combines with location, purchase, and cellphone data. Jordan is identifiable again.

##### WHY IT MATTERS

“De-identified” was a legal label, not real anonymity.

### Every step was legal. Jordan is still exposed.

Then a state attorney general subpoenas the data broker — not the clinic. HIPAA doesn’t apply to brokers, so they comply. **The clinic is never told. Jordan is never told.** The whole exposure happened because the inferred data (information the AI created about Jordan that Jordan never said) traveled outside the chart, became “de-identified,” got sold, and got reassembled downstream. **This is not a hypothetical. This is the architecture.**

## Now follow Dr. Lee, who never opened the EHR



### Meet Dr. Lee.

The clinician who saw Jordan that morning. After Jordan left, Dr. Lee opened ChatGPT on their phone to look up something specific about Jordan's case — age, pregnancy status, presenting symptoms. The clinic doesn't know. There's no Business Associate Agreement with OpenAI. Here's exactly what happens to that one query — and why.

01



### THE QUESTION

#### DR. LEE SEES

A helpful chatbot explaining Jordan's case.

#### BEHIND SCENES

Jordan's details just went to a third party.

#### WHY IT MATTERS

The clinic never agreed to share data with this company.

02



### NO AGREEMENT

#### DR. LEE SEES

A clean chat window. Nothing unusual.

#### BEHIND SCENES

Free ChatGPT, Claude, Gemini: **no BAA exists.**

#### WHY IT MATTERS

Without a BAA, sharing PHI with the vendor is prohibited.

03



### LIKELY BREACH

#### DR. LEE SEES

A useful answer in seconds.

#### BEHIND SCENES

Age + pregnancy + symptoms = identifiable. **In most readings, a reportable breach.**

#### WHY IT MATTERS

Narrow gray areas exist — the clinic owes a documented risk assessment either way.

04



### LOGGED FOREVER

#### DR. LEE SEES

They close the tab and forget.

#### BEHIND SCENES

Without a BAA, every clinician query is logged on vendor servers in fully readable text. May be used for training, depending on vendor and tier.

#### WHY IT MATTERS

**A hostile state AG can subpoena these logs** — vendor isn't required to notify clinic, clinician, or patient.

05



### INSIDE THE MODEL

#### DR. LEE SEES

Nothing — this is invisible to them.

#### BEHIND SCENES

Jordan's details may become part of the trained model.

#### WHY IT MATTERS

Months later, fragments could surface to another user.

### Worse than Pathway A. There's no agreement at all.

**The biggest risk isn't the training data — it's the legal exposure.** ChatGPT logs every clinician query in fully readable text. Without a BAA, those records can be subpoenaed by a hostile state attorney general — and the vendor isn't required to notify your clinic, your clinician, or your patient. Under HIPAA's Breach Notification Rule, this is, in most readings, also a reportable breach (narrow gray areas exist; the clinic still owes a documented risk assessment). **This is happening right now in clinics that don't know it's happening.**

### 06 · THE LEGAL FLOOR JUST GOT LOWER

## The 2024 federal protections for reproductive health data are gone.

In **April 2024**, HHS finalized a HIPAA rule giving reproductive health information extra protection from law-enforcement disclosure. In **June 2025**, a federal court in *Purl v. HHS* vacated that rule nationwide. Baseline HIPAA still applies. State laws still apply where they exist. **The extra federal layer is gone — and unlikely to return soon.**

What this means in practical terms: the contracts you sign with AI vendors and the governance work your organization does are doing more of the protective work now than they were a year ago. The law is no longer the safety net.

### A SPECIFIC DANGER AFTER PURL V. HHS

## ChatGPT logs every query — and a hostile state AG can subpoena them.

Every prompt typed into a consumer AI tool (ChatGPT, Claude, Gemini, Copilot) is stored on the vendor's servers, often indefinitely, and is fully readable text — not encrypted from the vendor. **Those records can be obtained by law enforcement with a subpoena or search warrant.**

A state attorney general investigating out-of-state travel for reproductive or gender-affirming care can request OpenAI, Anthropic, or Google's logs the same way they request data broker records. **The vendor is not required to notify your organization, your clinician, or your patient.** The first you may hear about it is in a court filing — or never.

## Where you operate **matters more** than it did a year ago

With the federal floor gone, state law is doing the protective work. It varies wildly. A patient who travels across state lines effectively passes through different privacy regimes.

### STRONGEST · WASHINGTON

#### My Health My Data Act

The toughest health privacy law in the country. **Covers inferred health data.** Requires consent for sale or sharing of consumer health data. Private right of action.

### STRONG · CALIFORNIA

#### CMIA + CCPA + AB 254

CMIA covers health data; AB 254 specifically extends protection to **reproductive and sexual health data.** Restricts cooperation with out-of-state investigations.

### WEAKEST · TEXAS

#### HIPAA baseline only

No state-level health privacy law beyond HIPAA. **Active state AG pursuing data on out-of-state travel** for reproductive and gender-affirming care via subpoenas to brokers.

## 08 · SIX QUESTIONS LEADERSHIP SHOULD BE ASKING

### Plain-English questions **any executive** can ask

- 1 What inferred data is this AI generating about our patients that they never said out loud?**  
Predictive scores are new data the patient didn't give you.
- 2 Where does that data go after the visit, and who can see it?**  
Map every system the chart, the scores, and the telemetry touch.
- 3 Is our patient data being used to train the vendor's AI?**  
If yes, your visits are teaching a product that gets sold to others.
- 4 What is the vendor allowed to do with the de-identified version?**  
"Any lawful purpose" means it can be sold and combined with broker data.
- 5 If a subpoena comes to the vendor, will they tell us before they hand anything over?**  
Notification has to be contractual now — the federal layer is gone.
- 6 Do our clinicians use ChatGPT or OpenEvidence without a BAA?**  
If yes — or if you don't know — that's where to start.

## 09 · WHAT TO DO THIS WEEK

### Six concrete steps any leadership team **can take now**

#### 1 Run an AI inventory

List every tool in your org that touches patient data — including the ones IT didn't procure. You can't govern what you can't see.

#### 2 Read your BAAs

Find the de-identification, model-training, and product-improvement clauses. Those are the levers. Most leaders have never read them.

#### 3 Survey your clinical staff

Ask them, anonymously, which AI tools they use for clinical work. The answer will surprise you. The gap is your shadow-AI risk.

#### 4 Issue a one-page staff guidance

Tell staff what AI use is OK and what is not yet. Give them a sanctioned alternative. Bans alone don't work — workflows do.

#### 5 Schedule a board conversation

AI governance is now a board-level risk. Make the AI inventory + vendor accountability a standing agenda item, not a one-time review.

#### 6 Plan for state-law variance

If you serve patients who travel, your governance has to assume a Texas-baseline floor. Build the contracts and policies for the worst case.

## 10 · IF YOU NEED HELP

### Frame + Forge does this work specifically for the **reproductive health movement.**

AI inventories. Vendor accountability reviews. Board-ready governance frameworks. Built by someone with 25+ years in SRH, not a generic compliance shop. **The first conversation is free.**

[TALK TO LYND SAY →](#)

**The bottom line.** Compliance protects you from regulators. Governance protects your patients. You need both — and the technology is moving faster than the law. *This handout uses composite scenarios. Every mechanism in it is real and currently in use.*